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## Problems with paradigma of anomalous functions

## Questions

1. Why appears only one and not wo condensates of cooper pais?
2. Stability limited by pair excitation into bound pais or pair breaking?
3. BEC and pairing transition treated on same theoretical basis?

## Our view:

- Anomalous functions are short cut to right results (mean field), but same result possible without non-conserving assumptions
- Need unified theory above and below condensation temperature
- Fluctuations and condensation at same theoretical footing

Solution: T-matrix with nuttiple sattering corections (MSC)

## Many-body T-matrix


$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Scattered wave } & \begin{array}{l}\text { reconstructed wave func- } \\ \text { tion }\end{array}\end{array} \quad$ T-matrix
$\Psi^{\prime}=\frac{1}{E-H_{0}-V+i 0} V^{V \Psi_{0}}=\frac{V}{E-H_{0}+i 0^{\prime}} V\left(\Psi_{0}+\Psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{1}{E-H_{0}+i 0^{T}} T{ }^{T \Psi_{0}}$

## Dichotomy between gap and selfconsistency <br> Galitzki- Feymman <br>  <br> Near pole (pairing, bound states) T-matrix is separable $T=\triangle \triangle$ <br> $\vec{k}=\frac{-}{k}+\underset{k}{\Delta_{-k}} \underset{k}{\Delta}=\underset{k}{-}+\underset{-k}{\Delta}$ <br> $G^{-1}(\omega, \mathbf{k})=\omega+\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}-\Delta^{2} G(-\omega,-\mathbf{k}) \quad G^{-1}(\omega, \mathbf{k})=\omega+\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}-\frac{\Delta^{2}}{\omega+\epsilon_{-\mathbf{k}}}$ <br> no pole no gap equation <br> two-pole structure, BCS gap equation <br> - satisfying selfconsistency required by Goldstones criterion yield no gap <br> - theories giving gap do not satisfy selfconsistency

## Removal of double counts

Paradox: The worse approximation yields better result
Wrong conclusion: Superconductor and metals not covered by unified the-
ory
Solution: Galitskii-Feynman approximation includes double-counts


- Third particle ought to be different from the interacting pair: $p \neq q$ ! - Each momentum contributes as 1 /volume $\rightarrow$ vanishs for infinite volume - Normal state ok, but pairing or BE condensates state $q \sim$ volume - Derivation of asymmetric Bethe-Salpeter equation (cluster-Cluster diagrams) K. Morawetz J. Stat. Phys. 143 (2011) 482
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## Subtraction of double counts

subtract own interaction in singular channel $\quad G_{\mathrm{A}}=G-G_{i} \sum_{i} G$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Using the Dyson equation } G_{0}^{-1}=G^{-1}+\Sigma & G_{\lambda_{i}}=G_{0}+G_{0}\left(\Sigma-\Sigma_{i}\right) G_{i}\end{array}$ closing with the subtracted propagator $\Sigma_{i}=T_{i} \bar{G}_{\lambda}$, short exercise
$G^{-1}=G_{0}^{-1}-\Sigma=G_{0}^{-1}-\Sigma^{\prime}-\Sigma_{i}$
$=G_{0}^{-1}-\Sigma^{\prime}-T_{i} \bar{G}_{i}=G_{0}^{-1}-\Sigma^{\prime}-T_{i}\left(\bar{G}_{0}^{-1}-\Sigma^{\prime}\right)^{-}$
or explicitly

in matrix form $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}^{0}+\mathrm{G}^{0} \Sigma \mathrm{G}$ with
$\mathbf{G}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}G_{11} & G_{12} \\ \bar{G}_{12} & G_{11}\end{array}\right), \mathbf{G}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}G_{0} & 0 \\ 0 & G_{0}\end{array}\right), \Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{12} \pm & \Sigma \Sigma_{11}\end{array}\right)$
Bosons S. T. Beliaev, Soviet. Phys. JETP 7 (1958) 289
Fermions L. P. Gorkov, Soviet. Phys. JETP 7 (1958) 505
"normal" $G_{11} \equiv G$ and "anomalous" Green' function
$G_{12} \equiv \frac{-\Sigma_{12}}{\left(\omega+\epsilon+\Sigma_{11}\right)\left(\omega-\epsilon-\Sigma_{11}\right)+\Sigma_{12}^{2}}$
results, not needed as starting (conservation laws completed)
P. Lipavsky, PRB 78 (2008) 214506; K. Morawetz, PRB 82 (2010) 092501

## Green function and particle density

- the dispersion has two branches $\pm E$
$G\left(q, i z_{\nu}\right)=\frac{i z_{\nu}+\epsilon_{q}}{\left(i z_{\nu}\right)^{2}-E_{q}^{2}}, \quad E_{q}=\sqrt{\epsilon_{q}^{2} \mp \Delta_{0}^{2}(q)}, \quad \epsilon_{q}=\frac{\hbar^{2} q^{2}}{2 m}-\mu+\Sigma^{\operatorname{reg}}$ - total density
$n=\mp \frac{1}{\beta \Omega} \sum_{p, \nu} G\left(p, i z_{\nu}\right)= \pm \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{p} \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\epsilon_{p}}{E_{p}}\left(1 \pm 2 f_{\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{F}}\left(E_{p}\right)\right)-1\right]$
- solve many-body $T$-matrix for separable interaction $g_{p}=\left(1+\frac{p^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}\right)^{-1}$
- pole of T-matrix yields binding energy $\omega_{q}<0$ for pair,
$\omega_{0}=2 \mu$ (Thouless criterion), singular contribution condensation Cooper pairs (BCS) but also condensation of bound states
- nonsingular contributions collected in regular self-energy $\Sigma^{\text {reg }}$



## Stability of BCS condensate

excited bound states ( Q -mode), at zero frequency Cooper pairs (C-mode) singular element $T_{\text {IC }}=\frac{L^{3}}{}{ }^{3} \Delta \Delta$. T-matrix of the condensation mode $\tau_{0, \mathrm{C}}=V-V \frac{k_{\mathrm{E}} T}{L^{5} T} \sum_{k} G_{\uparrow}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) G_{\mathbb{Q}_{l}( }(-\omega, \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{T}_{0, \mathrm{C}}$
near $T_{c}$ T-matrix diverges, expand (GL equation Gorkov)

$$
\frac{\hbar^{2}|\mathbf{C}|^{2}}{2 m^{*}}+\alpha \bar{\Delta}+\beta|\Delta|^{2} \bar{\Delta}=0
$$

T-matrix $\frac{1}{T_{0, Q}}=\frac{1}{V}+\frac{k_{\mathrm{k}} T}{L^{5} T} \sum_{k} G_{\uparrow}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) G_{\downarrow}(-\omega, \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{k})$ for non-condensed pairs both propagators depend on gap, leads to energy

$$
\frac{\chi}{\tau_{0, Q}}=\frac{|\mathbf{Q}|^{2}}{2 m^{*}}+\alpha+2 \beta|\Delta|^{2}
$$

in condensation mode $\frac{x}{T_{\mathrm{TC}}}=\frac{|\mathrm{C}|^{2}}{2 m^{*}}+\alpha+\beta|\Delta|^{2}=0$ identical to GL approximation, eliminate gap $\frac{x}{T_{0, Q}}=\frac{\mid \mathrm{QQ}^{2}}{2 m^{*}}-\alpha-\frac{\mid \mathrm{CC}^{2}}{m^{*}}$, zero only if $|\mathrm{C}|^{2}$ compensate $-\alpha$

- T-matrix in the Q -mode remains finite, cannot become singular once the condensation develops in C -mode
- factor of two in non-linear term: non-condensed pairs feel gap due to condensate twice stronger than by Cooper pairs in condensate (like bosons out of BEC twice stronger)
- therefore parallel condensation in two competitive modes is excluded (tac itly assumed in BCS theory)

Excitation of Cooper pairs from the condensate
N particles (Cooper pairs) in running frame $\mathbf{v}$ excite quasiparticle (bound pair) $\epsilon_{Q}$, possible if (Cherenkov) $E_{i}-E_{f}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{Q}-\left(\epsilon_{Q}-\epsilon_{0}\right)>0$, i.e.
$(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \geq \frac{\chi}{T_{0 . Q}}=\frac{|\mathbf{Q}|^{2}}{2 m^{*}}-\alpha-\left.\frac{|\mathbf{C}|^{2}}{m^{*}}\right|_{C=0}=\frac{|\mathbf{Q}|^{2}}{2 m^{*}}-\alpha$ solved by real $\mathbf{Q}$ only if $|\mathbf{v}|>v_{\mathrm{pe}}=\sqrt{\frac{2|\alpha|}{m^{*}}}$ since pair breaking velocity $v_{\mathrm{pb}}=\frac{\Delta}{k_{\mathrm{F}}}=\sqrt{\frac{\mid \mathrm{la\mid}}{\beta k_{\mathrm{F}}}}=\sqrt{\frac{|a|}{3 m}}$ we have the relation

## $v_{\mathrm{pe}}=\sqrt{3} v_{\mathrm{pb}}$

- critical velocity of pair breaking < critical velocity of pair excitation $\rightarrow$ stability of condensate controlled by pair breaking
The Galitskii-Feynman T-matrix approximation fails for superconductin state because of non-physical repeated collision
- MSC -Tmatrix theory of pairing and condensation is valid above and be low critical temperature: consistent description of pair-breaking effect MSC T-matrix justifies two basic assumptions of BCS theory: conde sate single-valued, excitations of bound electron pairs can be neglected

|  | critical velocities of |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | pair braaking | pair excitation |
| Galitskii | 0 | 0 |
| KM | $\Delta / k_{\mathrm{F}}$ | 0 |
| TMSC | $\Delta / k_{\mathrm{F}}$ | $\sqrt{3} \Delta / k_{\mathrm{F}}$ |

## Approximations for Bose gases

The total energy in T-matrix approximation is
$U=\sum_{k \neq 0} E_{k} f_{\mathrm{B}}\left(E_{k}\right)+\sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{n_{0}^{2} \mathcal{T}^{2}(k)}{4 E_{k}}\left(1+2 f_{\mathrm{B}}\left(E_{k}\right)\right)$
quasi particles two-particle contribution
$\sum_{k \neq 0} E_{k} v_{k}^{2}+\frac{\tau(0)}{\Omega}\left(N^{2}-N N_{0}+\frac{1}{2} N_{0}^{2}\right)$
depletion attraction in momentum spac
and the total number of particles is
$N=N_{0}+\sum_{k \neq 0} f_{\mathrm{B}}\left(E_{k}\right) \quad+\sum_{k \neq 0} v_{k}^{2}\left(1+2 f_{\mathrm{B}}\left(E_{k}\right)\right)$
quasi particles ${ }^{k \neq 0}$ depletion
I. Hartree-Fock
$n=n^{\text {id }}, \quad \mu=\mu^{\text {id }}+2 n U_{0} \quad$ for $n_{0}=0$ $n=n_{0}+\Delta n, \mu=\left(2 n-n_{0}\right) U_{0}$ for $n_{0}>0$
II. Bogoliubov
$E \quad=\quad \sum_{k} E_{k} f_{\mathrm{B}}\left(E_{k}\right)$
attraction in momentum space $\frac{\lambda_{0} U_{0}}{2 \Omega}\left(2 N-N_{0}\right)-\sum_{k \neq 0} E_{k} v_{k}^{2}$
correlations, $\mu \approx n_{0} U_{0}$
$\sqrt{\left(\frac{\hbar^{2} k^{2}}{2 m}+n_{0} U_{0}\right)^{2}-n_{0}^{2} U_{0}^{2}}$
III. Popov (Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov): $\mu=\left(2 n-n_{0}\right) U_{0}$


Chemical potential with ideal critical Condensate density, $\varepsilon_{\gamma}=\hbar^{2} \gamma^{2} / 2 m$
 density $n_{i d} \approx 0.059 \gamma^{3}$
$\lambda_{c 0}=8 \pi \hbar^{2} / m \gamma$
Popov and Hartree-Fock approximation show unphysical behavior due to overestimation of attraction in momentum space
instability at onset of BEC and first-order phase-transition (Maxwell con struction)

- T-matrix approximation medium effects compensate repulsive interac tion near onset of BEC, attraction in momentum space compensated, nevertheless first-order phase-transition
in coexistence region condensate density changes linearly

Strong repulsive-interacting Bose gas


Chemical potential, the vertical ar- Condensate density

## rows mark the density hysteresis

- for strong repulsive interaction the attraction in momentum space is too strong to be compensated by medium effects
also T-matrix approximation yields multivalued region, which cannot be avoided by the Maxwell construction
therefore a true physical relevance is attributed to this behavior and it is interpreted as appearance of a hysteresis

