Asymmetric Bethe-Salpeter equation - many phases of quantum gases

Münster University of **Applied Sciences**





K. Morawetz^{1,2,3}, M. Männel¹, P. Lipavský⁴

¹ Münster University of Applied Sciences, Stegerwaldstrasse 39, 48565 Steinfurt, Germany ² International Institute of Physics (IIP), Av. Odilon Gomes de Lima 1722, 59078-400 Natal, Brazil ³ Max-Planck-Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, 01187 Dresden, Germany ⁴Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Ke Karlovu 3, 12116 Prague 2, Czech Republic



International nstitute of

Problems with paradigma of anomalous functions

Questions:

1. Why appears only one and not two condensates of cooper pairs? 2. Stability limited by pair excitation into bound pairs or pair breaking? 3. BEC and pairing transition treated on same theoretical basis?

Our view:

- Anomalous functions are short cut to right results (mean field), but same result possible without non-conserving assumptions
- Need unified theory above and below condensation temperature
- Fluctuations and condensation at same theoretical footing

Subtraction of double counts

subtract own interaction in singular channel $G_{\lambda} = G - G_{\lambda} \Sigma_i G$ Using the Dyson equation $G_0^{-1} = G^{-1} + \Sigma$ $G_{\lambda} = G_0 + G_0(\Sigma - \Sigma_i)G_{\lambda}$ closing with the subtracted propagator $\Sigma_i = T_i ar{G}_{i\!\lambda}$, short exercise

 $G^{-1} = G_0^{-1} - \Sigma = G_0^{-1} - \Sigma' - \Sigma_i$ $= G_0^{-1} - \Sigma' - T_i \bar{G}_{\lambda} = G_0^{-1} - \Sigma' - T_i \left(\bar{G}_0^{-1} - \bar{\Sigma}' \right)^{-1}$

or explicitly

 $G = \frac{\bar{G}_0^{-1} - \bar{\Sigma}'}{[G_0^{-1} - \Sigma'][\bar{G}_0^{-1} - \bar{\Sigma}'] - T_i}$ free propagator $G_0^{-1} = \omega - \epsilon_p$ "proper" selfenergy $\Sigma_{11}(p) \equiv \Sigma'(p)$ "anomalous" selfenergy $\Sigma_{12}(p) \equiv \Delta(p)$

Excitation of Cooper pairs from the condensate

N particles (Cooper pairs) in running frame v excite quasiparticle (bound pair) ϵ_Q , possible if (Cherenkov) $E_i - E_f = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{Q} - (\epsilon_Q - \epsilon_0) > 0$, i.e.

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) &\geq \frac{\chi}{\mathcal{T}_{0,\mathbf{Q}}} = \frac{|\mathbf{Q}|^2}{2m^*} - \alpha - \frac{|\mathbf{C}|^2}{m^*} \Big|_{C=0} = \frac{|\mathbf{Q}|^2}{2m^*} - \alpha \text{ solved by real } \mathbf{Q} \text{ only if } \\ |\mathbf{v}| &> v_{\mathrm{pe}} = \sqrt{\frac{2|\alpha|}{m^*}} \text{ since pair breaking velocity } v_{\mathrm{pb}} = \frac{\Delta}{k_{\mathrm{F}}} = \sqrt{\frac{|\alpha|}{\beta k_{\mathrm{F}}}} = \sqrt{\frac{|\alpha|}{3m}} \\ \text{we have the relation} \end{split}$$

$$v_{\rm pe} = \sqrt{3}v_{\rm pb}$$

• critical velocity of pair breaking < critical velocity of pair excitation \rightarrow stability of condensate controlled by pair breaking

Solution: T-matrix with multiple scattering corrections (MSC)





Near pole (pairing, bound states) T-matrix is separable $T = \triangle \triangle$

in matrix form $\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{G^0}+\mathbf{G^0}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{G}$ with

 $\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ \bar{G}_{12} & \bar{G}_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} G_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{G}_0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \bar{\Sigma}_{12} & \pm \bar{\Sigma}_{11} \end{pmatrix}$

Bosons S. T. Beliaev, Soviet. Phys. JETP 7 (1958) 289 Fermions L. P. Gorkov, Soviet. Phys. JETP 7 (1958) 505

"normal" $G_{11} \equiv G$ and "anomalous" Green' function

$$G_{12} \equiv \frac{-\Sigma_{12}}{(\omega + \epsilon + \bar{\Sigma}_{11})(\omega - \epsilon - \Sigma_{11}) + \Sigma_{12}^2}$$

results, not needed as starting (conservation laws completed) P. Lipavsky, PRB 78 (2008) 214506; K. Morawetz, PRB 82 (2010) 092501

Green function and particle density

• the dispersion has two branches $\pm E_q$

$$G(q, iz_{\nu}) = \frac{iz_{\nu} + \epsilon_q}{(iz_{\nu})^2 - E_q^2}, \quad E_q = \sqrt{\epsilon_q^2 \mp \Delta_0^2(q)}, \quad \epsilon_q = \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{2m} - \mu + \Sigma^{\text{reg}}$$

• total density

$$n = \mp \frac{1}{\beta\Omega} \sum_{p,\nu} G(p, iz_{\nu}) = \pm \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{p} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\epsilon_p}{E_p} \left(1 \pm 2f_{\mathrm{B/F}}(E_p) \right) - 1 \right]$$

• solve many-body T-matrix for separable interaction $g_p = \left(1 + \frac{p^2}{\gamma^2}\right)^{-1}$ • pole of T-matrix yields binding energy $\omega_q < 0$ for pair, • $\omega_0 = 2\mu$ (Thouless criterion), singular contribution condensation of

- The Galitskii-Feynman T-matrix approximation fails for superconducting state because of non-physical repeated collisions
- MSC -Tmatrix theory of pairing and condensation is valid above and below critical temperature: consistent description of pair-breaking effects
- MSC T-matrix justifies two basic assumptions of BCS theory: condensate single-valued, excitations of bound electron pairs can be neglected

	critical velocities of	
	pair breaking	pair excitation
Galitskii	0	0
KM	$\Delta/k_{ m F}$	0
TMSC	$\Delta/k_{ m F}$	$\sqrt{3}\Delta/k_{ m F}$

Approximations for Bose gases

The total energy in T-matrix approximation is

$$U = \sum_{\substack{k \neq \mathbf{0} \\ quasi \ particles}} E_k f_{\mathrm{B}}(E_k) + \sum_{\substack{k \neq \mathbf{0} \\ quasi \ particles}} \frac{n_{\mathbf{0}}^2 \mathcal{T}^2(k)}{4E_k} (1 + 2f_{\mathrm{B}}(E_k))$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{k \neq \mathbf{0} \\ k \neq \mathbf{0}}} E_k v_k^2 + \frac{\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{0})}{\Omega} \left(N^2 - NN_{\mathbf{0}} + \frac{1}{2}N_{\mathbf{0}}^2 \right)$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{k \neq \mathbf{0} \\ depletion}} \frac{\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{0})}{attraction \ in \ momentum \ space}$$

and the total number of particles is

I. Hartree-Fock

$$N = N_{0} + \sum_{\substack{k \neq 0}} f_{B}(E_{k}) + \sum_{\substack{k \neq 0}} v_{k}^{2}(1 + 2f_{B}(E_{k}))$$
quasi particles depletion

 $\begin{array}{ll} n = n^{\rm id}, & \mu = \mu^{\rm id} + 2nU_0 \ \ {\rm for} \ \ n_0 = 0 \\ n = n_0 + \Delta n, \ \ \mu = (2n - n_0)U_0 \ \ {\rm for} \ \ n_0 > 0 \end{array}$



- satisfying selfconsistency required by Goldstones criterion yield no gap
- theories giving gap do not satisfy selfconsistency

Removal of double counts

Paradox: The worse approximation yields better result Wrong conclusion: Superconductor and metals not covered by unified theory Solution: Galitskii-Feynman approximation includes double-counts

- Third particle ought to be different from the interacting pair: $p \neq q$! • Each momentum contributes as $1/volume \rightarrow vanishs$ for infinite volume
- Normal state ok, but pairing or BE condensates state $q \sim$ volume

• Derivation of asymmetric Bethe-Salpeter equation (cluster-cluster diagrams) K. Morawetz J. Stat. Phys. 143 (2011) 482

1. Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 214506: Multiple scattering corrections to the T-matrix approximation: Unified theory of normal and superconducting states, P. Lipavský

Cooper pairs (BCS) but also condensation of bound states • nonsingular contributions collected in regular self-energy Σ^{reg}



Stability of BCS condensate

excited bound states (Q-mode), at zero frequency Cooper pairs (C-mode), singular element $\mathcal{T}_{0,\mathbf{C}} = \frac{L^3}{k_{
m B}T} \bar{\Delta} \Delta$, T-matrix of the condensation mode $\mathcal{T}_{0,\mathbf{C}} = V - V \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}}T}{L^3} \sum_{k} G_{\uparrow}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) G_{\mathbf{\mathcal{C}}\downarrow}(-\omega, \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{k}) \mathcal{T}_{0,\mathbf{C}}$

near T_c T-matrix diverges, expand (GL equation Gorkov)



III. Popov (Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov): $\mu = (2n - n_0)U_0$



- Popov and Hartree-Fock approximation show unphysical behavior due to overestimation of attraction in momentum space
- instability at onset of BEC and first-order phase-transition (Maxwell construction)
- T-matrix approximation medium effects compensate repulsive interaction near onset of BEC, attraction in momentum space compensated, nevertheless first-order phase-transition
- in coexistence region condensate density changes linearly

- 2. New J. Phys. 12 (2010) 033013: Multiple condensed phases in attractively interacting Bose systems, M. Männel, K. Morawetz, P. Lipavský
- 3. Phys. Rev. 82 (2010) 092501: Equivalence of channel-corrected T-matrix and anomalous propagator approach, K. Morawetz
- 4. J. Stat. Phys. 143 (2011) 482: Asymmetric Bethe-Salpeter equation for pairing and condensation, K. Morawetz
- 5. Phys. Rev. B 84 (2012) 094529: Self-consistent T-matrix theory of superconductivity, B. Šopík, P. Lipavský, M. Männel, K. Morawetz, P. Matlock
- 6. Phys. Rev. A 87 (2013) 053617: Coexistence of phase transitions and hysteresis near the onset of Bose-Einstein condensation, M. Männel, K. Morawetz, P. Lipavský,
- 7. Eur. Phys. J. B 87 (2014) 8: Stability of condensate in superconductors, P. Lipavský, K. Morawetz, B. Sopík, M. Männel

 $\frac{\hbar^2 |\mathbf{C}|^2}{2m^*} \bar{\Delta} + \alpha \bar{\Delta} + \beta |\Delta|^2 \bar{\Delta} = 0$

T-matrix $\frac{1}{\mathcal{T}_0 \mathbf{\Omega}} = \frac{1}{V} + \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{L^3} \sum_k G_{\uparrow}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) G_{\downarrow}(-\omega, \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{k})$ for non-condensed pairs both propagators depend on gap, leads to energy

$$\frac{\chi}{\mathcal{T}_{0,\mathbf{Q}}} = \frac{|\mathbf{Q}|^2}{2m^*} + \alpha + \frac{2\beta}{|\Delta|^2}$$

in condensation mode $rac{\chi}{\mathcal{T}_0 \, \mathbf{C}} = rac{|\mathbf{C}|^2}{2m^*} + lpha + eta |\Delta|^2 = 0$ identical to GL approximation, eliminate gap $rac{\chi}{\mathcal{T}_0\,\mathbf{0}}\,=\,rac{|\mathbf{Q}|^2}{2m^*}-lpha\,-\,rac{|\mathbf{C}|^2}{m^*}$, zero only if $|\mathbf{C}|^2$ compensate $-\alpha$

• T-matrix in the Q-mode remains finite, cannot become singular once the condensation develops in C-mode

• factor of two in non-linear term: non-condensed pairs feel gap due to condensate twice stronger than by Cooper pairs in condensate (like bosons out of BEC twice stronger)

• therefore parallel condensation in two competitive modes is excluded (tacitly assumed in BCS theory)



Chemical potential, the vertical ar-Condensate density rows mark the density hysteresis

- for strong repulsive interaction the attraction in momentum space is too strong to be compensated by medium effects
- also T-matrix approximation yields multivalued region, which cannot be avoided by the Maxwell construction
- therefore a true physical relevance is attributed to this behavior and it is interpreted as appearance of a hysteresis